University of Ljubljana, Faculty of Computer and Information Science

Computational Complexity

Prof Dr Marko Robnik Šikonja Analysis of Algorithms and Heuristic Problem Solving Edition 2023

Asymptotically tight bound Θ

- Given function $g(n)$, we denote with $\Theta(g(n))$ a set of functions:
- $\Theta(g(n)) = \{ f(n); \exists c_1, c_2, n_0 > 0, \forall n > n_0: 0 \le c_1 g(n) \le f(n) \le c_2 g(n) \}$
- notation used is $f(n) \in \Theta(g(n))$ and more frequently $f(n) = \Theta(g(n))$
- g(n) is asymptotically tight bound for f(n)
- assumption: $g(n)$ is asymptotically positive function

$\Theta(g(n))$

An example

- Let us show that $\frac{1}{2}$ n² 3n = $\Theta(n^2)$
- find c_1 , c_2 , n_0
- Home work:
	- show that an² + bn + c = $\Theta(n^2)$
	- show for all polynomials $p(n)$, $p(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{d} a_i n^i$, $a_d > 0$, that $p(n) = \Theta(n^d)$
	- show $6n^3 \neq \Theta(n^2)$
- we denote constant function as $\Theta(n^0)$ = $\Theta(1)$

Asymptotical upper bound O

- for functions $g(n)$ we write $O(g(n))$ to be a set of functions for which the following holds:
- $O(g(n)) = \{ f(n); \exists c, n_0 > 0, \forall n > n_0 : 0 \le f(n) \le cg(n) \}$
- we use notation $f(n) \in O(g(n))$ or more frequently $f(n) = O(g(n))$
- g(n) is asymptotical upper bound for f(n)
- attention! the literature tend to be imprecise in this notation
- use also as an anonymous function, for example $T(n) = 2 T(n/2) + O(n)$

$O(g(n))$

Alternative definitions

• for upper bound

$$
f(n) = O(g(n)) \Leftrightarrow \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{|f(n)|}{g(n)} < \infty \text{ and the limit exists}
$$

Examples

- Show $\frac{1}{2}$ $n^2 3n = O(n^2)$
- Show at home:
	- $an^2 + bn + c = O(n^2)$
	- an + c = $O(n^2)$

Asymptotical lower bound Ω

- For function $g(n)$ we write $\Omega(g(n))$ to be a set of functions:
- $\Omega(g(n)) = \{ f(n); \exists c, n_0 > 0, \forall n > n_0 : 0 \le cg(n) \le f(n) \}$
- notation f(n) $\in \Omega(g(n))$ or more frequently f(n) = $\Omega(g(n))$
- g(n) is asymptotical lower bound for f(n)
- attention, the literature might be imprecise

$\Omega(g(n))$

Relations between asymptotical bounds

- for functions f(n) and $g(n)$ it holds:
- $f(n) = \Theta(g(n))$ if and only if $f(n) = O(g(n))$ and $f(n) = \Omega(g(n))$

Imprecise boundaries, notations o and ω

- $o(g(n)) = \{ f(n); \forall c > 0, \exists n_0 > 0, \forall n > n_0 : 0 \le f(n) < cg(n) \}$
- e.g., $7n = o(n^2)$ in $3n^2 \neq o(n^2)$
- $o(g(n))$ is an imprecise upper bound

•
$$
f(n) = o(g(n)) \leftrightarrow \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} = 0
$$

- $\omega(g(n)) = \{ f(n); \forall c > 0, \exists n_0 > 0, \forall n > n_0 : 0 \le cg(n) < f(n) \}$
- e.g., $n^2 = \omega(n)$ and 3n $\neq \omega(n)$
- \cdot ω (g(n)) is an imprecise lower bound

•
$$
f(n) = \omega(g(n)) \leftrightarrow \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} = \infty
$$

Properties of asymptotic bounds1/2

• transitivity

$$
f(n) = \Theta(g(n)) \wedge g(n) = \Theta(h(n)) \Rightarrow f(n) = \Theta(h(n))
$$

$$
f(n) = \Theta(g(n)) \wedge g(n) = \Theta(h(n)) \Rightarrow f(n) = \Theta(h(n))
$$

$$
f(n) = \Omega(g(n)) \wedge g(n) = \Omega(h(n)) \Rightarrow f(n) = \Omega(h(n))
$$

$$
f(n) = o(g(n)) \wedge g(n) = o(h(n)) \Rightarrow f(n) = o(h(n))
$$

$$
f(n) = \omega(g(n)) \wedge g(n) = \omega(h(n)) \Rightarrow f(n) = \omega(h(n))
$$

• reflexivity

 $f(n) = \Theta(f(n))$

$$
f(n) = O(f(n))
$$

 $f(n) = \Omega(f(n))$

Properties of asymptotic bounds 2/2

• symmetry

 $f(n) = \Theta(g(n)) \Leftrightarrow g(n) = \Theta(f(n))$

• transpose symmetry

```
f(n) = O(g(n)) \Leftrightarrow g(n) = \Omega(f(n))
```

```
f(n) = o(g(n)) \Leftrightarrow g(n) = \omega(f(n))
```
• analogy with numbers

```
f(n) = O(g(n)) a \leq b
```

```
f(n) = \Omega(g(n)) a≥b
```
...

• but not trichotomy

e.g., between two numbers exactly one of the following relations holds a<b, a=b, a>b

why not for asymptotic function bounds?

Divide and conquer algorithms

- Idea:
	- **divide** the problem into several (equal) parts
	- (recursively) **conquer (solve)** each of the sub problems
	- **combine** sub problem solutions
- An example: maximum subarray problem

Maximum subarray problem

```
// maximal subarray of array A[low…high] crossing the point mid
findMaxCrossingSubarray(A, low, mid, high) { 
  leftSum = -\infty; sum = 0;
  for (i = mid; i >= low; i-j {
      sum = sum + A[i];
      if (sum > leftSum) {
      leftSum = sum ;
      maxLeft = i;
       }
   }
   rightSum = -\infty; sum = 0;
  for (j = mid +1; j \le high; j++) {
     sum = sum + A[i];
     if (sum > rightSum) {
     rightSum = sum ;
     maxRight = j;
      }
   }
   return (maxLeft, maxRight, leftSum + rightSum) ;
}
```
// maximal subarray of array A[low…high]

```
findMaxSubarray(A, low, high) { 
   if (low == high) // boundary condition
      return (low, high, A[low]);
```
else {

}

}

```
mid = (low + high) / 2;
```

```
(leftLow, leftHigh, leftSum) = findMaxSubarray(A, low, mid);
```

```
(rightLow, rightHigh, rightSum) = findMaxSubarray(A, mid+1, high);
```

```
(crossLow, crossHigh, crossSum) = findMaxCrossingSubarray(A, low, mid, high) ;
```

```
if (leftSum >= rightSum && leftSum >= crossSum)
```

```
return (leftLow, leftHigh, leftSum);
```

```
else if (rightSum >= leftSum && rightSum >= crossSum)
```

```
return (rightLow, rightHigh, rightSum) ;
```

```
else return (crossLow, crossHigh, crossSum) ;
```
Kadane algorithm

}

• idea: for each position compute the maximum subarray result for the subarray ending at given position

```
findMaxSubarrayKadane(A) { 
  maxEndingHere = 0;
  maxSoFar = 0;
  for (i=1; i <= A.length; i ++) {
     maxEndingHere = max(0, maxEndingHere + A[i]);
     maxSoFar = max(maxSoFar, maxEndingHere) ;
   }
  return maxSoFar ;
```
Four approaches to the analysis of divideand-conquer algorithms

- substitution method:
	- guess the solution
	- using induction find the constants and prove the solution is valid (requires some practice and knowledge of some tricks)
- recursive tree:
	- draw recursion tree and sum complexity level-wise and altogether;
	- prove with induction that the result is correct
- master theorem
- Akra-Bazzi theorem

Master theorem

- for divide and conquer algorithms
- assume constants $a \geq 1$, $b > 1$, a function $f(n)$
- $T(n)$ is defined for nonnegative integers with recurrent equation $T(n) = aT(n/b) + f(n)$,

where n/b is either $\lfloor n/b \rfloor$ or $\lfloor n/b \rfloor$. T(n) has the following asymptotic bounds

$$
T(n) = \theta(n^{\log_b a}) \qquad \qquad ; f(n) = O(n^{\log_b a - \varepsilon}) \text{ for constant } \varepsilon > 0
$$

$$
T(n) = \theta(n^{\log_b a} \log n) \qquad \qquad ; f(n) = \Omega(n^{\log_b a + \varepsilon}) \text{ for constant } \varepsilon > 0,
$$

$$
T(n) = \theta(f(n)) \qquad \qquad \text{if } af\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) \le cf(n) \text{, for constant } c < 1 \text{, and all large enough } n
$$

Using the master theorem

- examples when it works
- and when it doesn't

Akra-Bazzi theorem

(Mohamad Akra and Louay Bazzi, 1998) Let

$$
T(x) = \begin{cases} \theta(1) & ; 1 \le x \le x_0 \\ \sum_{i=1}^k a_i T(b_i x) + f(x) & ; x > x_0 \end{cases}
$$
, where

- real number *x >= 1*,
- constant $x_0 \geq 1/b_i$ and $x_0 \geq 1/(1-b_i)$ for $i = 1, 2, ..., k$
- *aⁱ* is a positive constant for *i = 1, 2, …, k*
- *bⁱ* is constant *0 < bⁱ < 1* for *i = 1, 2, …, k*
- *k >= 1* is an integer constant
- $f(x)$ is nonnegative function satisfying polynomial growth condition: there exist positive constants c_1 and c_2 such that for all $x>=1$ and for $i=1, 2, ...$ *k,* for all *u for* which $b_i x \leq u \leq \bar{x}$ it holds $c_i f(x) \leq f(u) \leq c_j f(x)$. Alternatively: if *|f'(x)|* is upper bounded by polynomial of *x*, then *f(x)* satisfies polynomial growth condition.

• real number p is the only solution of equation $\sum_{i=1}^k a_i b_i^p = 1$

Then the solution of the recursion is

$$
T(x) = \theta(x^{p}(1 + \int_{1}^{x} \frac{f(u)}{u^{p+1}} du)).
$$

Akra-Bazzi theorem – the strong form

Let

$$
T(x) = \begin{cases} \theta(1) & ; 1 \le x \le x_0 \\ \sum_{i=1}^k a_i T(b_i x + h_i(x)) + f(x) & ; x > x_0 \end{cases}
$$
, where

- real number *x >= 1*,
- constant x_0 >= max(b_i , 1/ b_i) for *i* = 1, 2, ..., *k*
- *aⁱ* is a positive constant for *i = 1, 2, …, k*
- *bⁱ* is constant *0 < bⁱ < 1* for *i = 1, 2, …, k*
- *k >= 1* is an integer constant
- $|f(x)| = O(x^c)$ for any $c \in N$
- $|h_i(x)| = O(\frac{x}{\log n})$ $\log^2 x$ *)*
- real number p is the only solution of equation $\sum_{i=1}^k a_i b_i^p = 1$ Then the solution of the recursion is

$$
T(x) = \theta(x^{p}(1 + \int_{1}^{x} \frac{f(u)}{u^{p+1}} du)).
$$